

>> Hello, LAURy, hello, VA nessa.

>> Hello Laura.

>> Good to see you all.

So I will do my usual thing.

Go through each item and welcome you to stop me at any point you have questions and as we've done for the past few meetings, we do have people from program content experts, so the questions and issues with regard to programs we can bring up people who represent the appropriate offices to speak to those questions as they arise.

Everybody can hear me fine, yes?

>> Yes.

>> Yes.

>> Great.

Okay.

So, did you want to say anything before I get going?

I said the usual.

>> I'm delighted to be here, you are smart for staying where you are, it is 150 degrees outside.

>> And hotter in the subways.

>> Yes, pouring off me.

It shows Laura's dedication.

Yeah.

Take a page out of her book, people.

>> Yeah.

>> I told you.

>> Okay.

Ray just excused me for using my handkerchief a few times as we go through the book.

Okay, the first item, item one, contract with JP CL Engineering to provide special inspection services, I should note that I'll be reading in a change to the RA tomorrow night.

The RA says that it's to replace services procured by the New York City of Buildings.

SCA vendors did the work, but too much volume for them, hence the Department is doing own contract for the services.

Good news we got between five and six proposals for the content areas, each areas, we broke the city up into geographic areas and we received between five and six proposals for each one of them.

So this is the award of contract at JPLC for the services and they have capacity to provide services we're looking for.

Item two, the first of handful of pre-Kindergarten items, pre-Kindergarten services items.

This is an award for the pre-K contract for continuity,

we did two RFPs, three including Charters. Continuity was for programs that had first grade class already for the kids to continue into. It was not Zip Code based and proposing two vendors here.

These were not post in advance, I'll note, because none of the vendors had significant adverse information, so they're relatively new to your packages, as believe as of this morning.

>> That is not (inaudible) hammering out?

>> We've done background checks for all vendors, assured we're not going to, we will always post at least a month in advance if there is significant adverse information to report, these vendors no significant adverse information to report.

>> Okay.

>> So adding vendors to the list, but completed background check and no adverse information.

>> Sure N. Posting them close to were they ready earlier, like everything

>> No, these are being done without post nothing advance, we're trying to get everything in under the wire.

>> If everything was settled, you would post them sooner?

>> Right.

We're bringing them

>> In addition, we're going to in this upcoming year for all future RFPs that get released, we're going to

(cutting out) RFPs will follow the same rules as the others.

This is kind of run-out of the previous RFPs, that are coming this late.

In the future, in the upcoming year for RFPs that are done for now, they'll come with the same as everything else, we cease making exception for that.

>> Okay.

>> As of this last RFP and those vendors, that is why you are still seeing them.

You will still see a few this year, but they are coming to an end, right?

>> Yes.

>> Just to say it another way, if there is something is not wrapped up with time for full

month notice, the only thing that will only post with less than a month notice if there is no adverse?

>> Correct.

Right.

Absolutely correct.

Next item, narrative is group actually of seven vendors, all for full-day services, this year's RFP, same as item one, in a sense full-day Pre-k, these are Zip Code awards so areas where there are needs, not necessarily continuity.

They were approved at a different time.

We did post that one in advance for the seven vendors and that's on the agenda.

The next is a few more vendors being awarded for full-day pre-Kindergarten services, these are also Zip Code or area of need based awards, all vendors with no adverse information and these are all late add-ons to the package, as well.

The next item is for one charter school, universal pre-Kindergarten contract, full day and again, no adverse information reported on this particular vendor.

Item six has been withdrawn.

Item seven is an award for two vendors in three sites, full day Zip Code RFP, this was posted in advance and there was some information adverse information that we reported on the vendor, that was provided in advance and posted in advance, as promised.

The next is item eight, which is an award of four multiple task award contracts, this was a new multiple task award contract solicitation, first group of awards you are seeing for it, this for middle school math curriculum and instruction program services.

As you have seen in prior panel meetings, we are often adding vendors to already existing list of multiple task award contracts.

This is the introduction of a new group of multiple task award contracts that will be available for schools in Central

and starting with four vendors we have listed here.

Next is an award of contract pursuant to request for bids.

So we've moved away from the RFP section now and awards pursuant to bids, this is for vendors that will provide athletic supplies and equipment used by physical Ed

education teachers
and sporting events SPOBSorted by PSAL.
We did the big in 19 aggregate classes each representing
specific manufacturer's line and got
variable number of bids from between one and five
bids in each one of the classes.
There were some classes that we received only one
bid for, but, you know, we did our best to
advertise and we reviewed pricing to make sure
pricing was fair and reasonable in each one
of the categories.
So it's several awards pursuant to that or the
three vendors listed.
The next is also
was request for bids also.
This one the award going to ATC group to provide
labor, material and supervision for asbestos,
hazard response inspections, we did this one in
six aggregate classes that were all geographic
ally based, ATC was the low bidder in all aggregate
classes, but there were issues in
and
we had doubts about their ability to perform in
all classes, we're proposing to award to one
I'm sorry CLEE classes, equivalent of amount
of work they have currently awarding no more
to them.
And the other classes are00 although be awarded
separately.
The next contract is with 8760 enterprises, the
product of request for bid for the inspection
maintenance, calibration, testing, repair and
replacement of equipment for digital directives,
control systems for HVAC throughout the system.
Two of four classes that we did in this bid were
already awarded, we're now doing two more classes
and this was a bid that we labored to try to get
more competition on than we had in the past,
we actually did rebids a couple times on this
and we were able to get competition on three
of the four classes, including both proposing
for award for this panel and other two previously
discussed and approved by the panel.
The next item 12 is an award of contract for the
labor, material and supervision necessary to
repair and replace oil burner, gas burners and
dual fuel burner, parts and allied equipment,
we did the bid in 10 geographically defined classes
and recommend all awards for classes except
two of them, classes seven and nine.

The

SHI note the low bidder in all classes except seven determined to be nonresponsive for classes one through six and eight through 10 because they bid below the prevailing wage for those classes, so I think we've talked before, there may have been questions about whether prevailing wages in the cob tract.

Here is case we refused to award contract to vendor because pricing didn't demonstrate their ability to and willingness to pay wages.

Asp mechanical subsequent low bidder for all classes determined to have capacity to service one class and recommended for that award, class nine and next lowest biers are receiving awards for other classes in this bid.

Next item, 13, is negotiated service award, so now away from the bid section.

We're on to negotiated services.

This award for literacy trust incorporated, nonprofit organization that provides literacy intervention services and they will do that for cohort of 30 schools.

I should note they are the sole provider of the reading rescue program in which most low performing students reach grade level after a semester.

Next, item 14, a listing application, next few items listing applications, this one with Laron, I will go through listing application quickly, they usually little interest.

Item 15 is with Swift Marketing Incorporated.

Also listing application.

Item 16 with Rosen publishing group, also listing application.

17 with upon ixl software, listing application.

And that's it for listing application groups.

The next item and next few are city council allocations, allocations from the City council that names vendors that do the work.

The first one is with Bank Street College of Education to provide services through liberty lead drop-out prevention program.

The next item also is City Council allocation.

It is a contract with Mentoring U.S.A.

Incorporated and they'll provide drop-out prevention services for 11 students from PS-7, in Manhattan, 154 in man HAN at and districts four and five respectively.

The next item is all city council allocation, this with New York Web Center to provide service

and support drop-out prevention program at Web Dubouis in district 17 in Brooklyn.

And next item is award of contract to New York State Industries for disabled, doesn't come up very often, I will mention there is an actual law in New York State that requires we do business with certain preferred sources of which New York State Industries for the disabled is one of them and we're very careful to adhere to that law, of course, and are pleased to do so.

This one is for the secure pickup, destruction and disposal of test material across 1700 New York City schools.

We use Preferred Sources for other services around the department, as well, I just don't remember any particular coming up with a panel.

I will mention that.

The next item, 22, is a government to government purchase this, one with city university of New York on behalf of Brooklyn College.

This contract is for graduate courses administration, supervision that will enable nonpublic school principals and perspective principals to obtain school building leader certification.

And the next and last item is an item with Mercy College, which actually went to the panel previously, but we discovered separately that we had omitted certain information in the background check, so providing that information now for this previously approved item, that is item number 25.

That will be the last item on the agenda.

So that's it other than technical changes, which are in the package.

This

>> I probably should have noted this last month, but for we made another change to based on some feedback we got from you SXAUL applicants about how the information was organized online, so you can see it.

And the document that lists out the agenda items of the summary page has direct links to the RA, except hyperlinks, so one thing people said to us, it is confusing, you have this stuff in different places.

Now we've married them, it is a lot easier.

If you scan the agenda, you see something of interest, you will not have to remember to hunt for the RA, you can click the hyperlink and it takes you there.

We did that last month and I should have mentioned

last month, I didn't, I wanted to make sure it workd and it does.

And hopefully that helps folks who are interested and the public more easily interact with the stuff that they are interested in.

I wanted to note that, I directed David to do this and he did.

Transparency as we know is frequently requested, but we do listen and we try to make accommodations that people request as quickly and as

>> Did I skip item 23?

I'm being told, I apologize, I'm being told I skipped item number 23, which is ride of a New York City Department of Citywide administrative services contract, this is an extension of a ride of a New York City Department of New York City Decast contract, a facilities contract that provides vehicles and personnel to pick up and deliver mechanics material.

We're writing a city contract that was done and that contract extended so we're extending ours, as well.

I'm sorry I missed that, item 23.

>> Yes, for clarification, I want to watch Thanksgiving or reading it, item 22, the providing administrative courses for information (cutting out)

this is Federal funds, not DOE funds.

I wanted to for anyone, this is not DOE money, it is DOE's just agent to so middle person

and they are the one, Yep contract.

>> So do you want to introduce yourself and

>> (Cutting out)

>> Eric, construction extensions.

>> Great.

I appreciate you answering the question.

We have people listening.

>> Okay.

Yes.

The funding is come from the Federal government, it is part of funding.

And we will not use that

.

>> (Cutting out)

>> And this is bending availability of funding, so funding source, we will not be able to

>> One obligation we have as OEM, we are not just Department of Education, we are local education authority for certain funding streams like this.

>> Okay.

Thank you.

>> Thanks.

>> This is

hithis, is Isaac, am I coming through?

>> Hear you loud and clear.

>> Okay.

I want to say two things, one, the new RA with hyperlinks really worked well and that was nice and easy to read, I want to thank everybody who worked on that.

And the other was I did hear loud and clear the answer with regard to the school construction authority and the vendors, so I am glad that answer came out, as well.

I understand there is number of projects that need to be expedited with inspection and the clarification that DOE have not been actually doing special inspection for DOE, that was good to hear, I appreciate that.

Thanks.

SPEEKTS excellent.

Happy to answer any and all, as you know.

>> There you go.

>> Does anyone have anything else?

No?

>> Laura, VAnessa, okay?

>> Good here.

>> Super.

>> Any particular ideas on how they organize?

>> Yes, viit organized.

Everybody bear with me, this is my first time, so if you have any disagreements, I will not be offended, I will be enriched.

So I would propose separating these in five resolutions. Roughly separated out scheme attically.

The first being contracts that have to do with maintenance, school maintenance, so one, 10 through 12 and 21.

The second would be pre-K contract, 2-7, item 6 being withdrawn.

The third would be those that have to do with professional development and curriculum, so 8, 13 and 22.

The fourth having to do with provision of school materials, including teaching materials and those Physical Ed, etc, 9, 14-17, 21 and 23.

And the last would be the City Council contracts
which would be 18-20.

Does that sound good with everybody?

>> Yes.

>> Sounds good here.

>> Works for me.

>> Perfect.

>> Oh, my gosh, I'm ecstatic.

We're good to go then, thank you, everybody.

>> We will see everybody at Hester street tomorrow.

DAFRD, we will bring your handkerchiefs.

.